

Regularisation Effect of Nonlinear Semigroups

Monash University, Melbourne, 16 Nov 2015

Joint work with Thierry Coulhon (Research University PSL, Paris)

Daniel Hauer



THE UNIVERSITY OF
SYDNEY

Motivation

Consider the following diffusion equation

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u) \nabla u) + f(x, u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

equipped with either



Consider the following diffusion equation

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u) \nabla u) + f(x, u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

equipped with either

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

(homog. Dirichlet)

$$a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \vec{\nu} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

(homog. Neumann)

$$a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \vec{\nu} + \beta(x, u) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

(homog. Robin)

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

(vanishing at ∞)



Consider the following diffusion equation

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u) \nabla u) + f(x, u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

Here, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open set, $\partial\Omega = \text{bdry of } \Omega$ &
 $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ Lipschitz, $f(x, 0) = 0$.



Consider the following diffusion equation

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u) \nabla u) + f(x, u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

and $a: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is a Carathéodory

function satisfying

$$\triangleright |a(x, \xi)| \leq \alpha(x) |\xi|^{p-1} + h(x) \\ x \in \Omega, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$\triangleright a(x, \xi) \cdot \xi \geq \beta |\xi|^p$$

$$\triangleright (a(x, \xi_1) - a(x, \xi_2)) \cdot (\xi_1 - \xi_2) > 0 \quad \xi_1 \neq \xi_2$$



Consider the following diffusion equation

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div} (a(x, \nabla u)) + f(x, u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

equipped with initial



Consider the following diffusion equation

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla \varphi(u)) \nabla \varphi(u)) + f(x, u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

equipped with either

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

(homog. Dirichlet)

$$a(x, \nabla \varphi(u)) \cdot \vec{\nu} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

(homog. Neumann)

$$a(x, \nabla \varphi(u)) \cdot \vec{\nu} + \beta(x, \varphi(u)) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

(homog. Robin)

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

(vanishing at ∞)



Consider the following diffusion equation

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div} (a(x, \nabla \varphi(u)) \nabla \varphi(u)) + f(x, u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

$\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous & nondecreasing



Every solution $t \mapsto u(\cdot, t)$ of diffusion equation

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u) \nabla u) + f(x, u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

admits the following regularisation effect:



Every solution $t \mapsto u(\cdot, t)$ of diffusion equation

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u) \nabla u) + f(x, u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

admits the following regularisation effect:

$$u(0) \in L^q \Rightarrow u(t) \in L^\infty \text{ for all } \underline{\underline{t}} > 0$$

$$\& \|u(t)\|_\infty \lesssim e^{wt \beta_q} t^{-\delta_q} \|u(0)\|_q^{\delta_q}$$



Every solution $t \mapsto u(\cdot, t)$ of diffusion equation

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(\alpha(x, \varphi(u)) \nabla \varphi(u)) + f(x, u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

admits the following regularisation effect:

$$u(0) \in L^q \Rightarrow u(t) \in L^\infty \text{ for all } \underline{\underline{t}} > 0$$

$$\& \|u(t)\|_\infty \lesssim e^{wt \beta_q} t^{-\delta_q} \|u(0)\|_q^{\delta_q}$$

$$\text{if } \varphi(s) = |s|^{m-1} \text{ for } s \in \mathbb{R} \ \& \ m > 0$$



Log-Sobolev is not always the direct
& simplest way

The approach:

1. By using a *known* Sobolev inequality, one derives a Log-Sobolev inequality.



Log-Sobolev is not always the direct
& simplest way

The approach:

1. By using a *known* Sobolev inequality, one derives a Log-Sobolev inequality.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f|^2 \ln |f| \, d\mu \leq C \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla f|^2 \, d\mu}_{= (-\Delta f, f)_2} + \|f\|_2^2 \ln \|f\|_2$$



Log-Sobolev is not always the direct
& simplest way

The approach:

1. By using a **known** Sobolev inequality, one derives a Log-Sobolev inequality.
2. When $\tau: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [p, \infty)$ is non-decreasing & \mathcal{E}' , then one shows by using the Log-Sobolev inequality that
$$s \mapsto y(s) := \log \|u(s)\|_{L^{\tau(s)}}^2$$
 satisfies a differential inequality.



Log-Sobolev is not always the direct
& simplest way

The approach:

1. By using a **known** Sobolev inequality, one derives a Log-Sobolev inequality.
2. When $\tau: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [p, \infty)$ is non-decreasing & \mathcal{C}^1 , then one shows by using the Log-Sobolev inequality that

$s \mapsto y(s) := \log \|u(s)\|_{L^{\tau(s)}}$
satisfies a differential inequality.

$\Rightarrow L^q - L^{\infty}$ -regularising effect of $u(0) \mapsto u(t), t > 0$.



Other results to this subject



Other results to this subject

▷ Benilan'1978 (Truncation & Moser-iter.)



Other results to this subject

- ▷ Bénéilan '1978 (Truncation & Moser-iter.)
- ▷ Véron '1979 (^{more general} Moser simplified)



Other results to this subject

- ▷ Bénéilan '1978 (Truncation & Moser-iter.)
- ▷ Véron '1979 (Moser ^{more general} simplified)
- ▷ Porzio '2009 (for Leray-Lions)



Other results to this subject

- ▷ Bénéilan '1978 (Truncation & Moser-iter.)
- ▷ Véron '1979 (Moser ^{more general} simplified)
- ▷ Porzio '2009 (for Leray-Lions)
- ▷
- ▷ Many others but for more specific situations.
- ▷



Main tool in all these approaches
(including log-Sobolev aprt.):



Main tool in all these approaches
(including log-Sobolev appr.):

One builds a "one parameter family" of
(log-) Sobolev inequalities.



Main tool in all these approaches
(including log-Sobolev aprt.):

For $q \geq p$

$$\langle -\Delta_p u, |u|^{q-p} u \rangle = (q-p+1) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p |u|^{q-p} dx$$



Main tool in all these approaches
(including log-Sobolev aprt.):

For $q \geq p$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle -\Delta_p u, |u|^{q-p} u \rangle &= (q-p+1) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p |u|^{q-p} dx \\ &= (q-p+1) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u \cdot |u|^{\frac{q-p}{p}}|^p dx \end{aligned}$$



Main tool in all these approaches

(including log-Sobolev aprt.):

For $q \geq p$

$$\langle -\Delta_p u, |u|^{q-p} u \rangle = (q-p+1) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p |u|^{q-p} dx$$

$$= (q-p+1) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u \cdot |u|^{\frac{q-p}{p}}|^p dx$$

$$= \frac{(q-p+1)}{\left(\frac{q-p}{p}+1\right)^p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla (|u|^{\frac{q-p}{p}} u)|^p dx$$



Main tool in all these approaches

(including log-Sobolev aprt.):

For $q \geq p$

$$\langle -\Delta_p u, |u|^{q-p} u \rangle = (q-p+1) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p |u|^{q-p} dx$$

$$= (q-p+1) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u \cdot |u|^{\frac{q-p}{p}}|^p dx$$

$$= \frac{(q-p+1)}{\left(\frac{q-p}{p}+1\right)^p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla (|u|^{\frac{q-p}{p}} u)|^p dx$$

$$\geq C_{q,p} \left(\int_{\Omega} | |u|^{\frac{q-p}{p}} u |^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}$$



What is known about the regularisation
effect of non local diffusion equations?



What is known about the regularisation
effect of non local diffusion equations?

$$\partial_t u - (-\Delta)^s u + \beta(u) + f(x, u) \ni 0 \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

where $(-\Delta)^s u(x) := \text{P.V.} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2} (u(x) - u(y))}{|x - y|^{d+sp}} dy$
for $0 < s < 1$



What is known about the regularisation
effect of non local diffusion equations?

$$(1) \begin{cases} \partial_t u - a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \vec{\nu} + \beta(u) + f(x, u) \ni 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ -\operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u) \nabla u) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \end{cases}$$



What is known about the regularisation effect of non local diffusion equations?

If $P : \partial\Omega \ni \varphi \mapsto P\varphi := u$ unique solution of

$$(DP_\varphi) \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u) \nabla u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = \varphi & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

then $\mathcal{A} : \partial\Omega \ni \varphi \mapsto a(x, P\varphi) \cdot \vec{\nu}|_{\partial\Omega}$ is the

Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator associated with $-\operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u) \nabla u) = 0$



What is known about the regularisation effect of non local diffusion equations?

Note, (1) is equivalent to

$$(2) \quad \partial_t \varphi + a(x, \nabla \varphi) \cdot \vec{\nu} + \beta(\varphi) + f(x, \varphi) \ni 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty)$$





THE UNIVERSITY OF
SYDNEY

Daniel Hauer

The framework of his talk



The framework of this talk

Let (Σ, μ) be a σ -finite measure space,



The framework of this talk

Let (Σ, μ) be a σ -finite measure space,

$X = L^q(\Sigma, \mu)$ for some $1 \leq q \leq \infty$,



The framework of this talk

Let (Σ, μ) be a σ -finite measure space,

$X = L^q(\Sigma, \mu)$ for some $1 \leq q \leq \infty$,

A an operator on X with domain $D(A)$.



The framework of this talk

Let (Σ, μ) be a σ -finite measure space,

$X = L^q(\Sigma, \mu)$ for some $1 \leq q \leq \infty$,

A an operator on X with domain $D(A)$.

For given $u_0 \in X$ let $u: [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ be a solution of
(in some sense)

$$(EP) \begin{cases} \frac{du(t)}{dt} + Au(t) \ni 0 & \text{for } t > 0, \\ u(0) = u_0. \end{cases}$$



The framework of this talk

Suppose A is m -accretive in X , that is,



The framework of this talk

Suppose A is m -accretive in X , that is,

(Accretive) for every $\lambda > 0$ the resolvent oper. $J_\lambda := (1 + \lambda A)^{-1}$ is X -contractive,

$$\|J_\lambda u - J_\lambda \hat{u}\|_X \leq \|u - \hat{u}\|_X \quad \forall u, \hat{u} \in \text{Rg}(1 + \lambda A)$$

(Rg-cond.) this is (for all) $\lambda > 0$, $\text{Rg}(1 + \lambda A) = X$.



The framework of this talk

Suppose A is m -accretive in X , that is,

(Accretive) for every $\lambda > 0$ the resolvent oper. $J_\lambda := (1 + \lambda A)^{-1}$ is X -contractive,

$$\|J_\lambda u - J_\lambda \hat{u}\|_X \leq \|u - \hat{u}\|_X \quad \forall u, \hat{u} \in \text{Rg}(1 + \lambda A)$$

(Rg-cond.) this is (for all) $\lambda > 0$, $\text{Rg}(1 + \lambda A) = X$.

Then by Crandall-Liggett '71,

$$(GP) \begin{cases} \frac{du}{dt}(t) + Au(t) \ni 0 & \text{for } t > 0, \\ u(0) = u_0 \in \overline{D(A)} \end{cases}$$

is well-posed in the sense of mild solutions.



The framework of this talk

Now, for given $u_0 \in X$ a function $u \in \mathcal{C}([0, \infty); X)$ is called a **mild solution** of (EP) if for every $T > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and partition $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = T$ of $[0, T]$ s.t. $t_i - t_{i-1} < \varepsilon$

there is a piecewise constant function $u_{\varepsilon, h}^{(t)} := \sum_{i=1}^N u_{\varepsilon, i} \mathbb{1}_{(t_{i-1}, t_i]} + u_0$ where $u_{\varepsilon, i}$ on $(t_{i-1}, t_i]$ solves "recursively"

the finite difference equation $u_{\varepsilon, i} + (t_i - t_{i-1}) A u_{\varepsilon, i} = u_{\varepsilon, i-1}$ $\forall i = 1, \dots, N$

such that $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u_{\varepsilon, N}^{(t)} - u(t)\|_X \leq \varepsilon$.



The framework of this talk

By the celebrated Crandall-Liggett Theorem (1971),
if A is m -accretive in X , then for every $u_0 \in \overline{D(A)}$
there is a unique mild solution of (EP) and the
solution is given by the exponential formula

$$u(t) := \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left(1 + \frac{t}{h} A \right)^{-h} u_0 \quad \text{unif. on comp. subintervals of } (0, \infty).$$



The framework of this talk

For given $u_0 \in X$, we call a function $u \in \mathcal{C}([0, \infty); X)$
a **strong solution** of (EP) if $u \in W_{loc}^{1,1}([0, \infty); X)$,
 $u(0) = u_0$ in X and for a.e. $t > 0$ one has
 $u(t) \in D(A)$ & $-\frac{du(t)}{dt} \in Au(t)$.



The framework of this talk

For given $u_0 \in \overline{D(A)}$, let $u: [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ be the
the mild solution of (EP), then set

$$\overline{T}_t u_0 := u(t) \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$



The framework of this talk

For given $u_0 \in \overline{D(A)}$, let $u: [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ be the mild solution of (EP), then set

$$\overline{T}_t u_0 := u(t) \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Then the family $\{\overline{T}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of mappings $\overline{T}_t: \overline{D(A)} \rightarrow \overline{D(A)}$ satisfies:

- ▷ $\overline{T}_t \circ \overline{T}_s = \overline{T}_{t+s} \quad \forall t, s \geq 0$ (semi-group)
- ▷ $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|\overline{T}_t u - u\|_X = 0 \quad \forall u \in \overline{D(A)}$ (Strong Cont.)
- ▷ $\|\overline{T}_t u - \overline{T}_t \hat{u}\|_X \leq \|u - \hat{u}\|_X \quad \forall u, \hat{u} \in \overline{D(A)}$ (X-contractive)



The framework of this talk

The abstract framework

$$(EP) \begin{cases} \frac{du}{dt}(t) + Au(t) \ni 0 & \text{for } t > 0, \\ u(0) = u_0 \in \overline{D(A)} \end{cases}$$

allows to establish existence, uniqueness & cont. dependence on u_0 of many important parabolic bdy-value problems:



The framework of this talk

The abstract framework

$$(EP) \begin{cases} \frac{du}{dt}(t) + Au(t) \ni 0 & \text{for } t > 0, \\ u(0) = u_0 \in \overline{D(A)} \end{cases}$$

allows to establish existence, uniqueness & cont. dependence on u_0 of many important parabolic bdy-value problems:

$$\triangleright X = L^2(\Omega) : \quad A = -\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla u) \\ \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \text{ domain} \quad \text{or} \quad A = -\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \right)$$



The framework of this talk

The abstract framework

$$(EP) \begin{cases} \frac{du(t)}{dt} + Au(t) \ni 0 & \text{for } t > 0, \\ u(0) = u_0 \in \overline{D(A)} \end{cases}$$

allows to establish existence, uniqueness & cont. dependence on u_0 of many important parabolic bdy-value problems:

$$\triangleright X = L^1(\Omega) : \quad A = -\Delta_p u^m, \quad u^m := |u|^{m-1} u, \quad m > 1$$



Different classes of operators



Different classes of operators

Let A be an operator on $M(\Sigma, \mu)$ of a given σ -finite measure space (Σ, μ) .

The operators A , in which we are interested in can be classified into two groups:



Different classes of operators

Let A be an operator on $M(\Sigma, \mu)$ of a given σ -finite measure space (Σ, μ) .

The operators A , in which we are interested in can be classified into two groups:

(I) A is T -accretive in $L^q(\Sigma, \mu)$ for all $1 \leq q \leq \infty$



Different classes of operators

Let A be an operator on $M(\Sigma, \mu)$ of a given σ -finite measure space (Σ, μ) .

The operators A , in which we are interested in can be classified into two groups:

(I) A is T -accretive in $L^q(\Sigma, \mu)$ for all $1 \leq q \leq \infty$

$\Leftrightarrow A$ is completely accretive



Different classes of operators

Let A be an operator on $M(\Sigma, \mu)$ of a given σ -finite measure space (Σ, μ) .

The operators A , in which we are interested in can be classified into two groups:

(I) A is T -accretive in $L^q(\Sigma, \mu)$ for all $1 \leq q \leq \infty$

\Leftrightarrow A is completely accretive. Ex:

- $-\Delta_p$
- nonlocal operators



Different classes of operators

Let A be an operator on $M(\Sigma, \mu)$ of a given σ -finite measure space (Σ, μ) .

The operators A , in which we are interested in can be classified into two groups:

(I) A is T -accretive in $L^q(\Sigma, \mu)$ for all $1 \leq q \leq \infty$

\Leftrightarrow A is completely accretive. Ex: $\circ -\Delta_p$
 \circ nonlocal operators

(II) A is T -accretive in $L^1(\Sigma, \mu)$ with
mon-increasing resolvent in $L^q(\Sigma, \mu)$ for all
 $1 \leq q \leq \infty$.



Different classes of operators

Let A be an operator on $M(\Sigma, \mu)$ of a given σ -finite measure space (Σ, μ) .

The operators A , in which we are interested in can be classified into two groups:

(I) A is T -accretive in $L^q(\Sigma, \mu)$ for all $1 \leq q \leq \infty$

\Leftrightarrow A is completely accretive. Ex: $\circ -\Delta_p$
 \circ nonlocal operators

(II) A is T -accretive in $L^1(\Sigma, \mu)$ with non-increasing resolvent in $L^q(\Sigma, \mu)$ for all $1 \leq q \leq \infty$.

Ex: $-\Delta u^m$ or $-\Delta_p u^m$.



Completely accretive operators

Let A be an operator on $M(\Sigma, \mu)$ of a given σ -finite measure space (Σ, μ) .

▷ A is called **completely accretive** if

$$\int_{\Sigma} j(u - \hat{u}) d\mu \leq \int_{\Sigma} j(u - \hat{u} + \lambda(v - \hat{v})) d\mu \text{ for every } (u, v), (\hat{u}, \hat{v}) \in A,$$

and every l.s.c., convex $j: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ satisfying $j(0) = 0$.



Completely accretive operators

Let A be an operator on $M(\Sigma, \mu)$ of a given σ -finite measure space (Σ, μ) .

▷ A is called **completely accretive** if

$$\int_{\Sigma} j(u - \hat{u}) d\mu \leq \int_{\Sigma} j(u - \hat{u} + \lambda(v - \hat{v})) d\mu \text{ for every } (u, v), (\hat{u}, \hat{v}) \in A,$$

and every l.s.c., convex $j: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ satisfying $j(0) = 0$.



Completely accretive operators

Let A be an operator on $M(\Sigma, \mu)$ of a given σ -finite measure space (Σ, μ) .

Δ A is called **completely accretive** if

$$\int_{\Sigma} j(u - \hat{u}) d\mu \leq \int_{\Sigma} j(u - \hat{u} + \lambda(v - \hat{v})) d\mu \text{ for every } (u, v), (\hat{u}, \hat{v}) \in A,$$

and every l.s.c., convex $j: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ satisfying $j(0) = 0$.

By taking $j(s) := |s|^q$ for $1 \leq q < \infty$
& $j(s) := [s - \kappa]^+$ for $\kappa > 0$ large enough

we see that



Completely accretive operators

Let A be an operator on $M(\Sigma, \mu)$ of a given σ -finite measure space (Σ, μ) .

▷ A is called **completely accretive** if

$$\int_{\Sigma} j(u - \hat{u}) d\mu \leq \int_{\Sigma} j(u - \hat{u} + \lambda(v - \hat{v})) d\mu \text{ for every } (u, v), (\hat{u}, \hat{v}) \in A,$$

and every l.s.c., convex $j: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ satisfying $j(0) = 0$.

By taking $j(s) := |s|^q$ for $1 \leq q < \infty$ we see that
& $j(s) := [s - r]^+$ for $r > 0$ large enough

A completely accretive $\implies A$ accretive in $L^q(\Sigma, \mu)$
for $1 \leq q \leq \infty$



Completely accretive operators

Let A be an operator on $M(\Sigma, \mu)$ of a given σ -finite measure space (Σ, μ) .

▷ By taking $j(S) := |S^+|^q$ for $1 \leq q < \infty$, we see that

Completely accretive operators

Let A be an operator on $M(\Sigma, \mu)$ of a given σ -finite measure space (Σ, μ) .

▷ By taking $j(S) := |S^+|^q$ for $1 \leq q < \infty$, we see that

A completely accretive $\implies A$ T -accretive
in $L^q(\Sigma, \mu)$
for $1 \leq q < \infty$.



Completely accretive operators

Let A be an operator on $M(\Sigma, \mu)$ of a given σ -finite measure space (Σ, μ) .

▷ By taking $j(s) := |s|^q$ for $1 \leq q < \infty$, we see that

A completely accretive $\implies A$ T -accretive
in $L^q(\Sigma, \mu)$
for $1 \leq q < \infty$.

▷ If $X \subseteq M(\Sigma, \mu)$ is a Banach lattice,
then A is called T -accretive if

$$\| [u - \hat{u}]^+ \|_X \leq \| [u - \hat{u} + \lambda(v - \hat{v})]^+ \|_X \quad \forall \lambda > 0 \text{ \& } \\ \forall (u, v), (\hat{u}, \hat{v}) \in A.$$




THE UNIVERSITY OF
SYDNEY

Daniel Hauer

Main subject of this talk

To investigate the "regularising effect":

For given $u \in L^q(\Sigma, \mu)$ for some $q \geq 1$,

$T_t u \in L^r(\Sigma, \mu) \quad \forall t > 0$ & some $q < r \leq \infty$
+ uniform bdds/decay rates as $t \rightarrow \infty$.



1 Theorem [Eouphon, H. '15] Sobolev $\Rightarrow L^2$ - L^r -reg

Let A be m -completely accretive in $L^2(\Sigma, \mu)$ with dense domain & $0 \in A_0$.

If there are $2 \leq r \leq \infty$, $\delta > 0$ & $C > 0$ s.t.

(Sob) $\|u - \hat{u}\|_r^\delta \leq C \langle u - \hat{u}, v - \hat{v} \rangle_2$
for all $(u, v), (u, \hat{u}) \in A$, then $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0} \sim -A$ satisfies

$$\|T_t u - T_t \hat{u}\|_r \leq \left(\frac{C}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} t^{-\frac{1}{\delta}} \|u - \hat{u}\|_2^{\frac{2}{\delta}} \quad \forall u, \hat{u} \in L^2.$$



Proof of Theorem 1 :



Proof of Theorem 1: Let $u, \hat{u} \in D(A)$

$$\|u - \hat{u}\|_q^q \geq \|u - \hat{u}\|_q^q - \|\tau_\epsilon u - \tau_\epsilon \hat{u}\|_q^q$$



Proof of Theorem 1: Let $u, \hat{u} \in D(A)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - \hat{u}\|_Z^q &\geq \|u - \hat{u}\|_Z^q - \|T_t u - T_t \hat{u}\|_Z^q \\ &= - \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \|T_s u - T_s \hat{u}\|_Z^q ds \end{aligned}$$



Proof of Theorem 1: Let $u, \hat{u} \in D(A)$

$$\|u - \hat{u}\|_q^q \geq \|u - \hat{u}\|_q^q - \|T_t u - T_t \hat{u}\|_q^q$$

$$= - \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \|T_s u - T_s \hat{u}\|_q^q ds$$

$$= (-q) \int_0^t \left\langle (u - \hat{u})_q, \left(\frac{d}{ds} T_s u - \frac{d}{ds} T_s \hat{u} \right)_{q'} \right\rangle ds$$



Proof of Theorem 1: Let $u, \hat{u} \in D(A)$

$$\|u - \hat{u}\|_q^q \geq \|u - \hat{u}\|_q^q - \|T_t u - T_t \hat{u}\|_q^q$$

$$= - \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \|T_s u - T_s \hat{u}\|_q^q ds$$

$$= (-q) \int_0^t \left\langle (u - \hat{u})_q, \frac{d}{ds} T_s u - \frac{d}{ds} T_s \hat{u} \right\rangle_{q', q} ds$$

$$= q \int_0^t \left\langle (u - \hat{u})_q, A T_s u - A T_s \hat{u} \right\rangle_{q', q} ds$$



Proof of Theorem 1: Let $u, \hat{u} \in D(A)$

$$\|u - \hat{u}\|_q^q \geq \|u - \hat{u}\|_q^q - \|T_t u - T_t \hat{u}\|_q^q$$

$$= - \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \|T_s u - T_s \hat{u}\|_q^q ds$$

$$= (-q) \int_0^t \langle (u - \hat{u})_q, \frac{d}{ds} T_s u - \frac{d}{ds} T_s \hat{u} \rangle_{q', q} ds$$

$$= q \int_0^t \langle (u - \hat{u})_q, A T_s u - A T_s \hat{u} \rangle_{q', q} ds$$

$$\stackrel{\text{hyp}}{\geq} \frac{q}{C} \int_0^t \|T_s u - T_s \hat{u}\|_q^q ds$$



Proof of Theorem 1: Let $u, \hat{u} \in D(A)$

$$\|u - \hat{u}\|_q^q \geq \|u - \hat{u}\|_q^q - \|T_t u - T_t \hat{u}\|_q^q$$

$$= - \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \|T_s u - T_s \hat{u}\|_q^q ds$$

$$= (-q) \int_0^t \left\langle (u - \hat{u})_q, \frac{d}{ds} T_s u - \frac{d}{ds} T_s \hat{u} \right\rangle_{q', q} ds$$

$$= q \int_0^t \left\langle (u - \hat{u})_q, A T_s u - A T_s \hat{u} \right\rangle_{q', q} ds$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Hyp}}{\geq} \frac{q}{c} \int_0^t \|T_s u - T_s \hat{u}\|_r^q ds$$

$$\geq \frac{q}{c} t \|T_t u - T_t \hat{u}\|_r^q$$

□



* Theorem [Eouphou, H. '15] Sobolev $\Rightarrow L^2$ - L^r -reg

Let A be m -accretive in $L^1(\Sigma, \mu)$ with non-increasing resolvent in all L^q & dense domain.

If there are $2 \leq r \leq \infty$, $\delta > 0$ & $C > 0$ s.t.

(Sob) $\|u\|_r^\delta \leq C \langle u, v \rangle_2$
for all $(u, v) \in A_n(L^2 \times L^2)$, then $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0} \sim -A$ satisfies

$$\|T_t u\|_r \leq \left(\frac{C}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} t^{-\frac{1}{\delta}} \|u\|_2^{\frac{2}{\delta}} \quad \forall u \in L^2.$$



2 Theorem [Coulhon, H. '15] Sobolev $\Rightarrow L^q$ - L^∞ -reg.

Let A be m -completely accretive in $L^2(\Sigma, \mu)$ with dense domain & $0 \in A_0$.

If there are $2 < \tau < \infty$, $\beta > 0$ & $C > 0$ s.t.h. $\frac{\tau}{\beta} > 1$ &

(Sob) $\|u - \hat{u}\|_\tau^\beta \leq C \langle u - \hat{u}, v - \hat{v} \rangle_2$
for all $(u, v), (u, \hat{u}) \in A$, then $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0} \sim -A$ satisfies

$$\|T_t u - T_t \hat{u}\|_\infty \lesssim t^{-\delta} \|u - \hat{u}\|_\tau^\delta w_0 \quad \forall u, \hat{u} \in L^{\frac{\tau}{\beta} w_0},$$

for any $w_0 > \beta$ satisfying $(\frac{\tau}{\beta} - 1)w_0 + \beta - 2 > 0$
with

$$\delta = \frac{1}{(\frac{\tau}{\beta} - 1)w_0 + \beta - 2}, \quad \gamma = \frac{(\frac{\tau}{\beta} - 1)w_0}{(\frac{\tau}{\beta} - 1)w_0 + \beta - 2}.$$



3 Theorem [Coulhon, H. '15] Extrapolation towards L^1

For $1 \leq q < r \leq \infty$. let $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be L^1 -contractive semigroup on $L^1 \cap L^r(\Sigma, \mu)$, $T_t \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$ & suppose,

there are $\delta, \gamma > 0$ s.t.

$$\|T_t u - T_t \hat{u}\|_r \leq C t^{-\delta} \|u - \hat{u}\|_q^\gamma \quad \forall t > 0 \text{ \& } \forall u, \hat{u} \in L^1 \cap L^r$$

For $\Theta_r := \frac{r-q}{q(r-1)} > 0$ if $r < \infty$ & $\Theta_\infty := \frac{1}{q}$ if $r = \infty$

assume that $\gamma(1 - \Theta_r) < 1$.

Then $\|T_t u - T_t \hat{u}\|_r \leq (2^\delta C)^{\frac{1}{\Theta}} t^{-\frac{\delta}{\Theta}} \|u - \hat{u}\|_q^{\frac{\gamma}{\Theta}}$
 with $\Theta := 1 - \gamma(1 - \Theta_r)$. $\forall u, \hat{u} \in L^1(\Sigma, \mu)$



Applications

Applications

1. Total variational flow in \mathbb{R}^d

$$A := \left\{ (u, v) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \begin{array}{l} u \in BV(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ \& } \exists z \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d), \|z\|_\infty \leq 1, \\ v = -\operatorname{div} z \, m_{\mathbb{D}^d} \text{ \& } (z, Du) = |Du| \end{array} \right\}$$



Applications

1. Total variational flow in \mathbb{R}^d

$$A := \left\{ (u, v) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \begin{array}{l} u \in BV(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ \& } \exists z \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d), \|z\|_\infty \leq 1 \\ v = -\operatorname{div} z \text{ in } \mathcal{D}' \text{ \& } (z, Du) = |Du| \end{array} \right\}$$

is the total variation flow operator $-\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \right) = \Delta_1$
in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. (1-Laplace op.)



Applications

1. Total variational flow in \mathbb{R}^d

$$A := \left\{ (u, v) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \begin{array}{l} u \in BV(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ \& } \exists z \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d), \|z\|_\infty \leq 1 \\ v = -\operatorname{div} z \text{ in } \mathcal{D}' \text{ \& } (z, Du) = |Du| \end{array} \right\}$$

is the total variation flow operator $-\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \right) = \Delta_1$
in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. (1-Laplace op.)

$\Rightarrow A$ is m -completely accretive in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ & $0 \in A_0$.



Applications

1. Total variational flow in \mathbb{R}^d

$$A := \left\{ (u, v) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \begin{array}{l} u \in BV(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ \& } \exists z \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d), \|z\|_\infty \leq 1 \\ v = -\operatorname{div} z \text{ in } \mathcal{D}' \text{ \& } (z, Du) = |Du| \end{array} \right\}$$

is the total variation flow operator $-\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \right) = \Delta_1$
in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. (1-Laplace op.)

$\Rightarrow A$ is m -completely accretive in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ & $0 \in A_0$.

Sobolev is

$$\|u\|_{L^{\frac{d}{d-1}}} \leq C |Du|(\mathbb{R}^d)$$



Applications

1. Total variational flow in \mathbb{R}^d

$$\Rightarrow \forall (u, v) \in A, \quad \langle u, v \rangle_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} -\operatorname{div}(z) \cdot u \, dx$$



Applications

1. Total variational flow in \mathbb{R}^d

$$\Rightarrow \forall (u, v) \in A, \quad \langle u, v \rangle_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} -\operatorname{div}(z) \cdot u \, dx$$

Green's formula (Anzellotti) $\stackrel{z}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (z, Du)$



Applications

1. Total variational flow in \mathbb{R}^d

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \forall (u, v) \in A, \quad \langle u, v \rangle_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} -\operatorname{div}(z) \cdot u \, dx \\ \text{Green's formula (Anzellotti)} &\quad \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (z, Du) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |Du| \end{aligned}$$



Applications

1. Total variational flow in \mathbb{R}^d

Thm 1*

$$\implies \|\tau_t u\|_{\frac{d}{d-1}} \leq \frac{C}{2} t^{-1} \|u\|_2^2 \quad \forall t > 0$$

$\& \forall u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$



Applications

1. Total variational flow in \mathbb{R}^d

Thm 1* \implies
$$\|T_t u\|_{\frac{d}{d-1}} \leq \frac{C}{2} t^{-1} \|u\|_2^2 \quad \forall t > 0$$

& $\forall u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

Since $\frac{d}{d-1} > 1$,
Thm 2* \implies
$$\|T_t u\|_{\infty} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{(d/(d-1)-1)m_0-1}} \|u\|_{\frac{dm_0}{d-1}} \quad \forall u \in L^{\frac{dm_0}{d-1}}$$

for any $m_0 > 1$ s.t.
$$\left(\frac{d}{d-1} - 1\right)m_0 - 1 > 0$$

Thank You!

